Staphylococcal Nasal Carriage among Health Care Personnel at Tertiary Care Hospital, Jaipur, India

¹Sakshita Agnihotri, ²Munesh Kumar Gupta, ³Eeshita Dhar

²Assistant professor, ^{1,2,3} Department of Microbiology, NIMS Medical college, Jaipur, India

Abstract: Staphylococcus aureus is the most common cause of suppurative lesions. It commonly resides in anterior nares as colonizer where it is transmitted from health care workers to the patients. A prospective study was done to determine the frequency of staphylococcal nasal carriage and their antibiogram. Nasal Swabs from health care workers were cultured on Blood agar and mannitol salt agar. Staphylococci was identified by colony characteristics, catalase and coagulase test. Antibiogram was determined against the different group of antibiotics according to CLSI guidelines. 51% staphylococcal nasal carries among health care workers was seen. Methicillin resistant strains were predominantly isolated. All the isolated strains were sensitive to mupirocin, vancomycin and linezolid. High erythromycin and clindamycin resistant was seen. High staphylococcal nasal carriage among health care workers necessitates for immediate decolonization. Mupirocin should be used topically to decolonize the staphylococci from anterior nares.

Keywords: Nasal carriage, Satphylococcus aureus, mupirocin, MRSA, Colonisation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Staphylococci, the gram positive microbes are the most common cause of community acquired as well as nosocomial infections resulting localised as well as systemic suppurative lesions.[1],[2] Being ubiquitous in nature, Staphylococcus aureus also resides in humans as commensal. Anterior nares are the most frequent site of colonization. Extranasal sites also colonized by Staphylococci especially axilla, vagina and intestinal tract.[3] About 20-40% of healthy persons are staphylococcal carrier.[4] These carriages predispose for the hospital acquired infection especially the surgical site infections.[5] Microbe is directly transmitted by health care personal to the patients where these microbes produce clinical manifestations. Knowledge of such staphylococcal nasal carriage is important as elimination of the colonized Staphylococci by using appropriate antibiotics reduces the incidence of nosocomial infections.[6],[7] Against this background, a prospective study was designed to determine the Staphylococcal nasal carriage and its antibiogram at tertiary care centre so that health care workers can be decolonized for patients welfare.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Present study was carried out in Department of Microbiology, NIMS medical College and Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan during the period of January 2015 to June 2015. The relevant data and history of health care personnel were recorded. Anterior nares swabs with the help of cotton swabs, (Himedia) moistened in sterile normal saline were collected from the health care workers including specialists, physicians, postgraduate students, staff nurses and sanitary staff. Nasal swab were inoculated on blood agar and mannitol salt agar and incubated aerobically at 37°C overnight. Staphylococcus genus and species identification was done by proper protocol. Antibiogram of the isolated Staphylococci was determined according to CLSI guidelines. Both low level as well as high level mupirocin resistance was determined by using 5 & 200µg disc. Methicillin resistance was determined by cefoxitin 30µg where zone of inhibition <22mm was considered as MRSA.[8]

International Journal of Healthcare Sciences ISSN 2348-5728 (Online)

Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp: (361-364), Month: April 2015 - September 2015, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

3. OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

Total 100 nasal swabs were collected from the health care personnel. Among them 57% participants belong to age group of 20-40 years followed by age group >40 yrs. A total 51 Staphylococci including 44 S.aureus were isolated. Among these 44, 31 were MRSA. Among 7 CoNS, 5 were MRCoNS. Most of the methicillin resistant staphylococci (n=23) were isolated from 20-40 yrs age group. Table 1 Most of these MRS strains were isolated from the nursing staff followed by doctors. All the isolates were sensitive to mupirocin 5µg & 200µg, vancomycin 30µg and linezolid 30µg. 82.35% (42/51) isolates were sensitive to levofloxacin 5µg followed by cotrimoxazole (70.6%), clindamycin 2µg (56.8%) and erythromycin 15µg (0.06%). Table 3 In comparison to MRSA, MRCoNS strains were more resistant to erythromycin and clindamycin. Whereas MRCoNS strains in comparison to MRSA were more susceptible to cotrimoxazole and levofloxacin. For linezolid, vancomycin and mupirocin, no difference in sensitivity was observed in between MRSA & MRCoNS.

Table 1: Distribution of isolated Staphylococcal strains according to age

Age in years	S.aureus		CoNS		Total
	MRSA	MSSA	MRCoNS	MSCoNS	
<20 years	4	1	2	0	7
20-40 years	21	10	2	2	35
>40 years	6	2	1	9	9
Total	31	13	5	2	51

Table2: Distribution of isolated Staphylococcal strains according to occupation

Occupation	S.aureus		CoNS		Total
	MRSA	MSSA	MRCoNS	MSCoNS	
Doctor	7	2	1	0	10
Resident	3	2	1	1	7
PG Student	1	0	0	1	2
Nursing Staff	11	5	3	0	19
Receptionist	6	3	0	0	9
Guard	2	0	0	0	2
Sweeper	0	1	0	0	1
Lab Technician	1	0	0	0	1
Total	31	13	5	2	51

Table 3: Antibiogram of isolated Staphylococcal strains

Antibiotic		MRSA (n=31)	MSSA (n=13)	MRCoNS (n=5)	MSCoNS (n=2)
Penicillin1 µg	Sensitive	0	0	0	0
	Resistant	31	13	5	2
Erythromycin 15 μg	Sensitive	2	1	0	0
	Resistant	29	12	5	2
Cotrimoxazole	Sensitive	17	13	4	2
25+1.25 μg	Resistant	14	0	1	0
Clindamycin 2 µg	Sensitive	21	7	1	0
	Resistant	10	6	4	2
Vancomycin 30 µg	Sensitive	31	13	5	2
	Resistant	0	0	0	0
Linezolid 30 µg	Sensitive	31	13	5	2
	Resistant	0	0	0	0
Levofloxacin 5 µg	Sensitive	23	13	4	2
	Resistant	8	0	1	0
Mupirocin 5&	Sensitive	31	13	5	2
200μg	Resistant	0	0	0	0

International Journal of Healthcare Sciences ISSN 2348-5728 (Online)

Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp: (361-364), Month: April 2015 - September 2015, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

4. DISCUSSION

Staphylococci are the one of the most important cause of nosocomial infections. Most of these infections are transmitted directly from the health care personnel to the patient. Commensal behavior of staphylococci in anterior nares of health care workers predispose for its transmission either directly or indirectly. Eradication of staphylococci from anterior nares reduces the chances of nosocomial infection that necessitate the screening of nasal carriage at the time of joining.

Carriage rate of staphylococcoi in anterior nares varies in different parts of world. Compared to developed countries, higher carriage rate is observed in developing countries. This difference may be attributed to frequent surveillance as well as proper hygiene in developed countries. In our study, 51% staphylococcal nasal carriage among health care personnel was observed with higher (86.27%) methicillin resistant staphylococci carriage. Most of the isolates were Staphylococcus aureus. Most of this carriage was seen among the 20-40yrs of age group which is highly active in health care settings. Although prevalence of the health care workers harboring the staphylococci varies but the higher carriage rate was observed in the nursing staff (19/41) followed by the treating doctors (12/35) that governs the alarming state that admitted patients are highly vulnerable to get nosocomial infection if proper universal precautions are not followed. Similar higher staphylococcal nasal carriage (52.3%) has been reported by Shiv Shekhar et al.[9]

In this study, higher (60.7%) Staphylococcal aureus nasal carriage was observed in contrast to N Akhtar who reported lower (18.2%) Staphylococcal aureus nasal carriage rate in health care persons.[10]

The higher carriage rate among active health care workers predispose for direct transmission to the patients. Being anterior nares carriage, they are easily transmitted by coughing, sneezing, even through the hands. In patients, these microbes colonise and infect resulting surgical site as well as respiratory infection.

Different group of antibiotics are used to eliminate these colonized microbes.[11] In our study, antibiogram against isolated strains were also noted. Most of the isolated strains were resistant to erythromycin (94%) and clindamycin (44%). Higher resistant rate denote the overuse of erythromycin in upper respiratory tract infections. Higher clindamycin resistance may be due to overuse in prophylaxis of anaerobic infection. Now a days, clindamycin is also used topically in staphylococcal infections as well as in acne where sensitized flora is eliminated leaving behind the resistant flora. In our study, 17.6% staphylococcal strains showed levofloxacin resistant.

In our study, all the isolated strains were mupirocin sensitive even o the low level in contrast to the Gadepalli et al; who reported the 5% & 2% S.aureus resistant to high level and low level mupirocin resistance respectively.[12] Similar higher high level mupirocin resistant 2% & 28% MRSA and MRCoNS strains respectively has been reported by Oommem et al.[13] similarly Kaur et al; have reported the higher mupirocin resistant rate of 1.43% and 3.57% in MRSA and MRCoNS respectively.[14]

Although mupirocin resistant was not seen in our study but few mupirocin resistant has been reported from other parts of India. At present, although as nil mupirocin resistance problem in our area, it may create problem in future if there is emergence of mupirocin resistance strains. It will difficult to decolonize such strains.

5. CONCLUSION

Higher staphylococcal nasal carriage in health care workers creates a problem to control the nosocomial infections. Frequent screening as well as proper surveillance is required to eliminate the carriage rate by using appropriate antibiotics. Mupirocin can be used topically to eliminate the carriage state. Proper antibiotic selection is needed for prevention of nosocomial infections.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ananthnarayan and Paniker (2009). In: TEXTBOOK OF MICROBIOLOGY 8th edition India: University Press Private Limited,195
- [2] Lowy F (1998). Staphylococcus aureus infections. N Engl J Med.339: 520–32
- [3] Ridley M (1959). Perineal carriage of Staph. aureus. Br Med J.34:270–73
- [4] Mackie and McCartney (2006). In :PRACTICAL MEDICAL MICROBIOLOGY 14TH edition South Asia: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier,246

International Journal of Healthcare Sciences ISSN 2348-5728 (Online)

Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp: (361-364), Month: April 2015 - September 2015, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

- [5] Tang YW, Stratton CW. (2010) Staphylococcus aureus: An old pathogen with new weapons. Clin Lab Med.30: 179– 208
- [6] Kluytmans J, Von Belkum A, Verburgh H. (1997) Nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus: epidemiology, underlying mechanisms, and associated risks. Clin Microbiol Rev 10:505-20.
- [7] Boelaert JR, Van Landuyt HW, Godard CA, Daneels RF, Schurgers ML, Matthys EG, et al.(1993) Nasal mupirocin ointment decreases the incidence of Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemias in haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 8:235-9
- [8] CLSI. (2007). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 17th informational supplement. CLSI M100-S17. CLSI, Wayne, PA
- [9] Shiv Sekhar Chatterjee, Pallab Ray, Arun Aggarwal, Anindita Das, Meera Sharma. (2009) A community-based study on nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus. Indian J Med Res 130.742-748
- [10] Naeem Akhtar (2010) Staphylococcal Nasal Carriage of Health Care Workers, Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan 20 (7): 439-443
- [11] Ammerlaan HS, Kluytmans JA, Wertheim HF, Nouwen JL, Bonten MJ. (2009) Eradication of methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus carriage: a systematic review. Clin Infect Dis 48:922-30
- [12] Gadepalli R, Dhawan B, Mohanty S, Kapil A, Das BK, Chaudhry R, et al. (2007) Mupirocin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus in an Indian hospital. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 58:125-7
- [13] Oommen SK, Appalaraju B, Jinsha K. (2010) Mupirocin resistance in clinical isolates of staphylococci in a tertiary care centre in south India. Indian J Med Microbiol 28:372-5
- [14] Kaur DC, Narayan PA.(2014) Mupirocin resistance in nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus among healthcare workers of a tertiary care rural hospital.Ind J Crit Care Med. 18:716-21